AAUP JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC FREEDOM
Back to Volume Fifteen Contents

Artificial Intelligence, Academic Freedom, and the Evolving Debate over Forgery and Truth in the Twenty-First Century

Natasha N. Johnson, Thaddeus L. Johnson, and Denise McCurdy

Abstract

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) has sparked a new debate within academia regarding forgery and truth in the face of diminishing academic freedom. The use of AI tools, such as language models, has raised concerns about plagiarism and academic dishonesty, as these tools have the potential to generate original texts without human involvement. Educators and institutions must stay informed about these advancements and adapt their strategies and policies to address the changing landscape of academic misconduct. This article delves into the complex relationship between AI, academic freedom, and truth-telling in the modern era, concluding with recommendations for best practices that maintain the integrity of scholarly work while harnessing the benefits of AI for scientific progress.

In an era of rapid technological advancement, artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping academia, bringing both innovation and troubling concerns. Apart from the threat to academic integrity, this transformation is playing a role in a decline in the autonomy of educational institutions globally, including in China and the United States (Kinzelbach et al. 2023; Spannagel and Kinzelbach 2023). In China, for instance, there have been reports of censorship in academic settings, particularly concerning topics that the government deems sensitive, like the status of Tibet and Xinjiang and critiques of the Communist Party's policies (Pringle and Woodman 2022). US reports show that professors have been disciplined for expressing their views in the classroom or online, and states have proposed and passed laws limiting their teaching. One example is the series of bills and executive orders introduced in states like Florida and Texas aimed at limiting the teaching of critical race theory, a theoretical framework for examining the ways race and racism intersect with politics, culture, and law (Miller, Fernandez, and Hutchens 2023).

Another pressing issue is the rise of AI-generated content, which presents ethical, legal, and philosophical dilemmas surrounding academic freedom, authorship, and originality. The advent of AI tools capable of producing high-quality fraudulent papers raises profound concerns regarding the integrity of scientific research and the trustworthiness of academic publications. Instances of researchers employing AI to generate or manipulate data and figures or to draft

sections of papers without clear disclosure exacerbate these concerns, undermining trust in the authenticity and integrity of scholarly work (Flanagin et al. 2023; Májovský et al. 2023; Moya and Eaton 2023).

The undeniable potential of AI in academia lies in its ability to process and analyze data, leading to improved decision-making, efficiency, and innovation. This is evidenced by the increasing number and sophistication of AI technologies that aid in manuscript and article preparation, including tools for writing, grammar, references, statistical analysis, reporting standards, and editor and publisher support for screening, validating references, editing, and facilitating search and discoverability. However, this technological boon is accompanied by challenges requiring a critical reassessment of established academic norms.

The reach of scientific discovery and advancement, and thus the consequences of integrating AI tools for advanced data analysis and writing, extends beyond the halls of academe into various sectors. For example, the aviation industry relies heavily on precise and verifiable research, and the potential for inaccurate AI-generated content to be mistaken for legitimate research could lead to minor operational inefficiencies or catastrophic failures that endanger lives and the environment. As such, this discourse touches upon broader concerns of truth, authorship, and authenticity in the digital age, prompting a reexamination of how AI shapes academic freedom and the verity of scholarship.

AI's consequences for truth, therefore, call for a deeper understanding of how to ensure the integrity of academic work while exploiting AI's benefits for research, teaching, and learning (Currie 2023; Hunt 2014). This article will explore how AI influences academic freedom, the authenticity of scholarly outputs, and the ongoing debate over what constitutes forgery and truth in the digital age. We aim to offer insights and recommendations that resonate with educators, policy makers, and the wider academic community as we navigate the complexities of fostering an environment where freedom of thought and the pursuit of knowledge can flourish amid the challenges posed by an ever-advancing technological landscape.

Academic Freedom as a Guiding Principle

The history of academic freedom, a cornerstone of higher education and scholarly pursuit, underscores its vital role in fostering innovation and intellectual growth. Originating in medieval European universities, particularly with the 1810 establishment of the University of Berlin, which championed *Lehrfreiheit* (freedom to teach) and *Lernfreiheit* (freedom to learn) (Commager 1963; Scott 2006), academic freedom has evolved to emphasize the autonomy of scholars to teach, research, and publish without fear of censorship or retribution. This ethos was further solidified by the AAUP in the early twentieth century. The Association's seminal 1915 *Declaration of Principles on Academic Freedom and Academic Tenure* laid down a comprehensive framework for academic freedom, articulating its three core components: the freedom of faculty to research and

publish, the freedom to teach in the classroom, and the freedom of expression on matters of institutional governance and public interest.

Academic freedom is indispensable for advancing knowledge, pursuing bold inquiry, and exploring new ideas free from external pressures. It ensures that scholars can engage in rigorous inquiry that questions prevailing orthodoxies without fear of censorship or jeopardizing one's career. Such openness is crucial for the dynamism of academic disciplines, allowing them to evolve and adapt in response to new evidence and perspectives. Moreover, academic freedom is fundamental to the truth-seeking mission of academia. It protects the integrity of the research process, ensuring that findings and conclusions are derived from honest, robust investigations rather than special interests or agendas (Kumar et al. 2023). The commitment to objectivity and critical scrutiny underpins the credibility of academic scholarship and its contribution to society at large.

AI as a Boon and Bane for Academic Freedom and Integrity

With its foundations in computer science aimed at emulating human cognitive functions such as learning, reasoning, and language comprehension, AI presents a paradoxical force within academia. This technology, driven by algorithms, machine learning, and natural language processing, has catalyzed unprecedented advancements and innovations. It has transformed research methodologies through the analysis of extensive datasets, facilitated groundbreaking discoveries, and revolutionized teaching through personalized and interactive learning experiences. Furthermore, AI's capability to democratize access to educational resources signifies a pivotal shift toward a more inclusive educational landscape. AI has markedly enhanced the efficiency, accessibility, and quality of academic endeavors, from streamlining publication processes to improving the reliability of plagiarism detection (Chan 2023; Longoni, Tully, and Shariff 2023).

The complex debate surrounding academic freedom and integrity and the integration of AI into scholarship reveals its dual-edged nature. Concerns have emerged that AI-enabled surveillance could stifle free speech and academic discourse. Further, some commentators worry that AI's propensity to perpetuate biases could influence academic decision-making processes like admissions and funding. Moreover, the rise of sophisticated language models, including Gemini (formerly Bard) and ChatGPT, that are capable of generating human-like text has sparked ethical debates related to plagiarism and the rigor of academic work (Abd-Elsalam and Abdel-Momen 2023). These developments pose profound challenges to the foundational principles of academic integrity.

The promise of AI is juxtaposed against the risks associated with the reliability of the generated content and the threat that it could foster academic dishonesty. The dissemination of misinformation, intensified by a surge of differing sociopolitical movements, social media platforms, and the partisan control of mass media corporations facilitated by AI technologies,

exacerbates the challenges academia faces in upholding truth and integrity. These issues are emblematic of the broader societal implications of AI, highlighting the critical role of higher education in navigating the complex interplay between technological advancement and preserving academic values (Popenici 2023).

AI can also be used to create convincing forgeries that challenge our ability to discern truth from fabrication (Guess and Lyons 2020). Newly developed digital platforms, especially deepfakes, distribute present-day propaganda under the guise of well-informed discussion to construct, suppress, or dismiss evidence-based and authenticated information (Perkins and Roe 2023). For example, in January 2024, a company lost $25 million after an employee was tricked by deepfakes of his coworkers on a video call (see Chen and Magramo 2024). The pervasiveness of such technologies raises fundamental questions about critical methodologies and the development of academic knowledge.

Balancing the Scales

The academic community is tasked with harnessing AI's transformative potential while confronting the ethical and integrity-related quandaries it presents (Gartner and Krašna 2023). This necessitates a concerted effort to promote responsible AI use within academic settings, underscored by the imperative to strengthen plagiarism detection and foster awareness of AI's ethical implications. As we venture further into the digital age, the academic sector's response to these challenges will be instrumental in shaping and governing AI's role in fostering knowledge and innovation and maintaining the integrity of scholarly discourse.

The safeguarding of academic freedom and the reliability of research in the AI era calls for collaboration among a broad consortium of constituents. Researchers and academics bear the responsibility of conducting research ethically and rigorously. Educational institutions are tasked with cultivating an environment conducive to academic freedom and research integrity. Funding bodies should underwrite research with stipulations that mandate ethical research practices. Professional societies, through their advocacy for standards and ethical guidelines, play a crucial role in shaping the landscape of academic freedom and integrity in the context of AI. Regulatory frameworks established by policy makers and the technological innovations provided by developers are pivotal in ensuring the ethical deployment of AI in research. Engaging the broader community in dialogue about AI's ethical use and its societal implications is imperative in these efforts.

Of these actors, higher education institutions occupy a central position in this discourse, serving as arbiters of truth. The widening chasm between propaganda and scientifically grounded facts, exacerbated by the proliferation of conspiracy theories and the infiltration of nonacademic content into educational curricula, underscores the urgency of these institutions' role in defending democratic values. The cornerstone of ethical academic research is adherence to principles of honesty, accuracy, efficiency, and objectivity, ensuring the reliability of research

findings, sustaining public trust in the scientific community, and guiding the responsible allocation of resources.

The scientific publication process also emerges as a bulwark in the preservation of academic freedom and research integrity amid the challenges posed by AI. Some publishers, like *Nature*, have established policies regulating the use of large-scale language models in scientific publications. This prohibits crediting these tools as authors and advises researchers to document their use in the methods or acknowledgments sections of manuscripts (*Nature* 2023). Other journals and organizations have developed policies that range from banning AI-generated text to requiring full transparency and accountability in scholarly publications (Hosseini, Rasmussen, and Resnik 2023; Thorp 2023). In 2023, the International Conference on Machine Learning announced a new policy prohibiting papers that contain text generated from large-scale language models unless the generated text is presented as part of the paper's experimental analysis.

While these are essential steps, the ubiquitousness of artificial intelligence has magnified existing challenges and introduced new complexities within the peer review system for research. Peer review remains the bedrock of scholarly communication, and its adaptation to the nuances of AI-driven submissions requires careful consideration. This process is dependent on unpaid volunteers and has faced long-term strain. Peer reviewers play an indispensable role in vetting research quality and ethical soundness, thereby mitigating the dissemination of misleading AI-generated content and addressing emerging issues.

Publishers, too, are charged with creating and enforcing policies and best practices that uphold academic freedom and integrity. Developing standardized guidelines and employing AI tools to assist in the submission and peer review processes are indeed paramount. However, the time has come for publishers and the scientific community to seriously consider professionalizing the peer review process through remuneration and training of peer reviewers and journal editors to enhance the quality, rigor, and ethical standards of scholarly communication in the evolving landscape of AI. We consider this to be a key takeaway, as it relates to the continued professionalization of the scientific publication process.

Moving the Debate Forward

The integration of artificial intelligence in academia has the potential to bring about transformative changes but also raises concerns about academic freedom and integrity. As we navigate the complexities introduced by AI, particularly in sensitive sectors like aviation and medicine, it is imperative that educators, policy makers, technologists, and scholars strive to harness AI's benefits carefully and responsibly (Marron 2023). This entails maintaining a vigilant stance against the misuse of AI, promoting ethical research and transparency, professionalizing the scientific publication process, and developing effective detection mechanisms (Castillo-Segura et al. 2023; Fox 1983; Thorp 2023; Zawacki-Richter et al. 2019).

Academic freedom, integrity, and truth-telling must be cornerstones of academic pursuits. These foundational principles underpin the advancement of science and fortify the pillars of democratic society by championing the free exchange and critique of diverse viewpoints. Assessment and regulation of AI-generated content become even more crucial to maintaining truth-telling and free will when we consider authoritarians' habitual use of propaganda. Such assaults on democracy and public truths align with attacks on the autonomy of academia (Slade 2023). By embracing a balanced approach that respects both the promise and pitfalls of AI, academia can continue to thrive and contribute to the advancement of knowledge while upholding core values of truth and integrity in the face of rapid technological advancements.

Natasha N. Johnson is a clinical instructor of criminal justice and criminology in the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies at Georgia State University. Thaddeus L. Johnson is a senior fellow for the Council on Criminal Justice and an assistant professor of criminal justice and criminology in the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies at Georgia State University. Denise McCurdy is an executive in residence in the Department of Computer Information Systems, Robinson College of Business, at Georgia State University.

References

AAUP (American Association of University Professors). (1915) 2015. "1915 Declaration of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure." In *Policy Documents and Reports*, 11th ed. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Abd-Elsalam, Kamel A., and Salah M. Abdel-Momen. 2023. "Artificial Intelligence's Development and Challenges in Scientific Writing." *Egyptian Journal of Scientific Research* 101 (3): 714–17.

Castillo-Segura, Pablo, Carlos Alario-Hoyos, Carlos Delgado Kloos, and Carmen Fernández Panadero. 2023. "Leveraging the Potential of Generative AI to Accelerate Systematic Literature Reviews: An Example in the Area of Educational Technology." Paper presented at 2023 World Engineering Education Forum—Global Engineering Deans Council, Monterrey, Mexico, October 23–27. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10344098.

Chan, Cecilia Ka Yuk. 2023. "Is AI Changing the Rules of Academic Misconduct? An In-Depth Look at Students' Perceptions of 'AI-giarism.'" arXiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.03358.

Chen, Heather, and Kathleen Magramo. 2024. "Finance Worker Pays Out $25 Million after Video Call with Deepfake 'Chief Financial Officer.'" *CNN*, February 4.

https://www.cnn.com/2024/02/04/asia/deepfake-cfo-scam-hong-kong-intl-hnk.

Commager, Henry Steele. 1963. "The University and Freedom: 'Lehrfreiheit' and 'Lehrnfreiheit.'" *Journal of Higher Education* 34, no. 7: 361–70.

Currie, Geoffrey M. 2023. "Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence: Is ChatGPT Hype, Hero or Heresy?" *Seminars in Nuclear Medicine* 53, no. 5: 719–30.

Flanagin, Annette, Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo, Michael Berkwits, and Stacy L. Christiansen. 2023. "Nonhuman 'Authors' and Implications for the Integrity of Scientific Publication and Medical Knowledge." *JAMA* 329, no. 8: 637–39.

Fox, Mary Frank. 1983. "Publication Productivity among Scientists: A Critical Review." *Social Studies of Science* 13, no. 2: 285–305.

Gartner, Smiljana, and Marjan Krašna. 2023. "Artificial Intelligence in Education: Ethical Framework." Paper presented at 12th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing, Budva, Montenegro, June 6–10. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10155012.

Guess, Andrew M., and Benjamin A. Lyons. 2020. "Misinformation, Disinformation, and Online Propaganda." In *Social Media and Democracy: The State of the Field and Prospects for Reform*, edited by Nathaniel Persily and Joshua A. Tucker, 10–33. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hosseini, Mohammad, Lisa M. Rasmussen, and David B. Resnik. 2023. "Using AI to Write Scholarly Publications." *Accountability in Research*, January 25, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2023.2168535.

Hunt, Earl B. 2014. *Artificial Intelligence*. New York: Academic.

Kinzelbach, Katrin, Staffan I. Lindberg, Lars Pelke, and Janika Spannagel. 2023. "Academic Freedom Index, 2023 Update." FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg and V-Dem Institute. https://doi.org/10.25593/opus4-fau-21630.

Kumar, Rahul, Sarah Elaine Eaton, Michael Mindzak, and Ryan Morrison. 2023. "Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence: An Overview." In *Handbook of Academic Integrity*, edited by Tracey Bretag, 1–14. Singapore: Springer.

Longoni, Chiara, Stephanie Tully, and Azim Shariff. 2023. "Plagiarizing AI-Generated Content Is Seen as Less Unethical and More Permissible." PsyArXiv preprint.

https://osf.io/preprints/psyarxiv/na3wb.

Májovský, Martin, Martin Černý, Matěj Kasal, Martin Komarc, and David Netuka. 2023. "Artificial Intelligence Can Generate Fraudulent but Authentic-Looking Scientific Medical Articles: Pandora's Box Has Been Opened." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 25: e46924.

Marron, Linda. 2023. "Exploring the Potential of ChatGPT 3.5 in Higher Education: Benefits, Limitations, and Academic Integrity." In *Handbook of Research on Redesigning Teaching, Learning, and Assessment in the Digital Era*, 326–49. Hershey, PA: IGI Global.

Miller, Vanessa, Frank Fernandez, and Neal H. Hutchens. 2023. "The Race to Ban Race: Legal and Critical Arguments against State Legislation to Ban Critical Race Theory in Higher Education." *Missouri Law Review* 88, no. 1. https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr/vol88/iss1/6/.

Moya, Beatriz, and Sarah Elaine Eaton. 2023. "Examining Recommendations for Artificial Intelligence Use with Integrity from a Scholarship of Teaching and Learning Lens." *RELIEVE: Revista Electrónica de Investigación y Evaluación Educativa* 29 (2). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376464986.

Nature. 2023. "Tools Such as ChatGPT Threaten Transparent Science: Here Are Our Ground Rules for Their Use." Editorial. *Nature*, January 24. https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-023-00191-1.

Perkins, Mike, and Jasper Roe. 2023. "Decoding Academic Integrity Policies: A Corpus Linguistics Investigation of AI and Other Technological Threats." *Higher Education Policy*, July 17. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/s41307-023-00323-2.

Popenici, Stefan. 2023. "The Critique of AI as a Foundation for Judicious Use in Higher Education." *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching* 6 (2). https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.2.4.

Pringle, Tim, and Sophia Woodman. 2022. "Between a Rock and a Hard Place: Academic Freedom in Globalising Chinese Universities." *International Journal of Human Rights* 26, no. 10: 1782–802.

Scott, J. C. 2006. "The Mission of the University: Medieval to Postmodern Transformations." *Journal of Higher Education* 77, no. 1: 1–39.

Slade, Christine. 2023. "Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence." QCAA (Queensland

Curriculum and Assessment Authority) Webinar Series, August 29. https://www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/about/k-12-policies/artificial-intelligence/webinar-series/academic-integrity-artificial-intelligence.

Spannagel, Janika, and Katrin Kinzelbach. 2023. "The Academic Freedom Index and Its Indicators: Introduction to New Global Time-Series V-Dem Data." *Quality & Quantity* 57, no. 5: 3969–89.

Thorp, H. Holden. 2023. "ChatGPT Is Fun, but Not an Author." *Science* 379, no. 6630: 313–13.

Zawacki-Richter, Olaf, Victoria I. Marín, Melissa Bond, and Franziska Gouverneur. 2019. "Systematic Review of Research on Artificial Intelligence Applications in Higher Education: Where Are the Educators?" *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 16, no. 1: 1–27.